

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/083,934	02/27/2002	Rodney L. Porter	210105US1	4659
759	90 12/18/2003		EXAM	INER
Rodney B Carroll			ARNOLD JR, JAMES	
Conley Roe P C 5700 Granite Parkway Suite 330			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBI	
Plano, TX 750			1764	
			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2001	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>'</u>							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	UP)				
Office Action Summary	10/083,934	PORTER ET AL.	Y				
omce Action Gummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAN INC DATE of this assumination	James Arnold, Jr.	1764					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply			-				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	IN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire StX (6) MONT atule, cause the application to become ARA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communica	tion.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	7 February 2002.						
	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allocallocally closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of	wance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits 11, 453 O.G. 213,	is				
Disposition of Claims	·	·					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-86</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without							
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-79</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>80-86</u> is/are objected to.	·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 February 2002</u> is							
Applicant may not request that any objection to t							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority docume Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	riority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eceived in this National Stage					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § first sentence of the specificat	119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data SI	ntion) neet.				
 a)	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. &	\$ 120 and/or 121 since a specif	īc 78.				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/083,934

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-79 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-75 of copending Application No. 09/992,445. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims disclose a process for producing a dilute ethylene stream and a dilute propylene stream from a cracked gas stream using essentially the same steps.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to separate a C_3^+ stream in a depropanizer zone to produce a C_3 stream and a C_4^+ stream rather than a C_3^- stream and a C_4^+ stream because both the C_3^- stream and the C_3 stream are both capable of producing propylene when reacted in a MAPD reactor zone...

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/083,934

Art Unit: 1764

Page 3

Claims 80-86 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record does not disclose a process for producing a dilute ethylene and propylene stream whereby the dilute propylene stream is passed to a dilute propylene derivative unit and the dilute propylene unit produces cumene, acrylic acid, or propylene oxide.

Response to Arguments

The applicant's arguments have been fully considered and are deemed persuasive. New grounds of rejection, however, are set forth in this office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Arnold, Jr. whose telephone number is 703-305-5308. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30 AM-6:00 PM; Fridays from 8:30 AM-5:00 PM with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 703-308-6824. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Ja

December 14, 2003

Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner